

Top stories

Feb. 5, 2008, 7:07PM

Willie Nelson doubts official explanation for 9/11

Associated Press

AUSTIN — Texas icon Willie Nelson said on a nationally syndicated radio show that he questions the official story of the Sept. 11 terrorist attacks in New York City.

"I certainly do," Nelson said Monday when asked by talk-show host Alex Jones.

"I saw those towers fall and I've seen an implosion in Las Vegas, there's too much similarities between the two. And I saw the building fall that didn't get hit by nothing," the singer-songwriter said. "So, how naive are we, you know, what do they think we'll go for?"

On Sept. 11, 2001, 19 men hijacked planes, crashing them into each of the World Trade Center's twin towers in New York City, the Pentagon and a Pennsylvania field.

Nelson, 74, said that if he were president, he would "stop the damn war, it's just that simple."

He said he doesn't why if Saudi Arabians "hit us in New York and we go jump on Afghanistan."

Nelson's publicist would not comment on the remarks.

COMMENTS

Readers are solely responsible for the content of the comments they post here. Comments are subject to the site's [terms and conditions](#) of use and do not necessarily reflect the opinion or approval of the Houston Chronicle. Readers whose comments violate the terms of use may have their comments removed or all of their content blocked from viewing by other users without notification.

You must be logged in to leave a comment. [Login](#) | [Register](#)

Submit

Most recent comments



EStreetShufflin wrote:

Shunter76, sorry for the delay on these thoughts but i am only reading your response to my post this morning (thurs):

I stand corrected -- my apologies for my knee-jerk assumption. I think what got my goat was the part of your sentence that Bush is 'just a figurehead'. in fact, figurehead is such a critical role f the judicial branch of the US government and Bush is failing so abysmally at it. i think that is what sparked my vitriolic post. and i just should have left it at that and then inserted my Clinton point in a more generic manner. i hope you will accept my apology.

2/7/2008 7:39 AM CST

[Recommend](#)

[Report abuse](#)



txnmn2 wrote:

why does anyone really care about Willie's opinion ? I MEAN REALLY?

2/6/2008 10:29 PM CST

[Recommend \(2\)](#)

[Report abuse](#)



Lildeer22 wrote:

The nearly free-fall speed collapse of all 3 buildings defy the laws of conservation of momentum and conservation of energy. Even if the fire did melt all 47 2'X 4' each core columns (actually, even given that the top 1/3 were smaller-something like 1.5' X 3' still IMO highly unlikely that ALL of them would melt) the pancake theory still defies those laws of physics, the reason being the near free-fall speed which the towers collapsed. (for WTC7 free-fall in a vaccuum is estimated at 6 seconds. That building collapsed in 6.5 seconds. WTC1 and 2 both also collapsed in just a little over free-fall time. Those are easily checked facts) So what happened to the cement floors and trusses would have to be that they were disintegrating before the floor above hit or else momentum would have slowed them much more than by half a